Computer Simulations of the Ordering in a Hybrid Cylindrical Film of Nematic Liquid Crystals
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We present an investigation of the ordering in a nematic liquid crystal film confined between two cylindrical surfaces with antagonistic (radial and planar) anchoring alignments. A Monte Carlo study of a Lebwohl-Lasher model with suitable boundary conditions has been performed to calculate the ordering and the molecular organisation for different film thicknesses. The simulation results are compared with some theoretical predictions obtained with the elastic continuum approach. The agreement between theory and simulation is improved as the thickness decreases.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The study of confined nematic systems has attracted and is attracting a great deal of interest both from the theoretical and experimental point of view [1]. In the last few years we have employed Monte Carlo computer simulations to investigate at a microscopic level some of these systems [2]. In particular, we have studied different geometries such as droplets, cylinders, films for various boundary conditions, anchoring strengths, external fields etc. We have shown that this computational approach is very useful not only in determining the thermodynamic observables of the system but also the molecular organisation that results from the competition of the above mentioned conditions. On the other hand, the continuum elastic theory has been a useful tool for investigating some phenomena in non-planar geometry, in which non usual consequences have been found [3–10]. Here we have started to combine the simulation and continuum theory approaches to investigate the problem of nematics confined between concentric cylindrical surfaces [11, 12].

Even though the model, described in the next section, is very simple, we have shown that it is sufficient to describe adequately systems where experimental results are available, as it proved possible for spherical PDLC droplets [13] and thin films [10] [18]. The aim of this work is to study the effect on molecular organization of antagonist boundaries on the two cylindrical surfaces and of exchanging the inside and outside anchoring conditions. We confirm, as possibly expected, that the distortions can be strongly different even for a simple switch of the boundary conditions, and that they tend to be more similar as the cylindrical film thickness decreases. After a brief description of the simulation model, we present some Monte Carlo results obtained for some thickness values. Then a simple elastic model is developed and used to compare the analytical predictions with the simulation results.

II. THE SIMULATION MODEL

The cylindrical film model sample $S$ used in simulations is obtained carving two concentric cylinders from a cubic lattice with spins at lattice points interacting with the Lebwohl-Lasher (LL) potential [19], eq. 1. This model is well known for reproducing the main orientational features of nematic liquid crystals. The surface effects of the inner and outer surfaces are modeled with two external layers of “ghost” spins, $G_{\text{in}}$ and $G_{\text{out}}$, with fixed orientations chosen to mimic the desired boundary conditions. The boundary layers act on the inside particles according to the simple pair interaction:

$$U_{i,j} = -\epsilon_{ij} J \left[ \frac{1}{2} (|u_i \cdot u_j|^2 - \frac{1}{2}) \right],$$

for $i \in S$, $j \in G_{\text{in}}$ or $j \in G_{\text{out}}$.

where $\epsilon_{ij} = \epsilon$, $\epsilon > 0$ for nearest neighbor $i$ and $j$ and 0 otherwise, $u_i$, $u_j$ are the orientations of the spins at sites $i$, $j$ and where $J$ denotes the relative strength of the coupling between a ”nematic” and a surface spin compared to that between of two nematic spins. Thus, when $J = 1$ the interaction between two neighbors, one on the surface of the nematic film and one belonging to the outside matrix, is the same as that between two liquid crystal spins, while at the other extreme $J = 0$ would correspond to a film in vacuum. Here we assume for simplicity $J = 1$.

In the present paper we have considered the following two different boundary conditions at the interfaces (see Fig. 1):

i) (R-Z-BC) Radial boundary conditions (homeotropic, RBC) at the outer surface, that are imposed by orienting the spins in the outside aligning layer normally to the local surface and pointing towards the center of the cylinder while vertical orientation at the inner surface is implemented with spins all aligned along $z$ (ZBC).

ii) (Z-R-BC) The alignment at the surfaces are inverted with respect the previous case, i.e. ZBC at the outer surface and RBC at the inner surface.
For each of these cases we have performed a simulation at a reduced temperature $T^* = 0.2$, deep in the nematic phase. We recall that in the bulk the nematic-isotropic phase transition occurs at a temperature $T^* = 1.1232$ [2] and that at $T^* = 0.2$ the orientational order $\langle P_2 \rangle_\lambda$ obtained from diagonalization of the ordering matrix [2] is $\approx 0.99$. To examine the ordering inside the cylindrical film various second rank order parameters have been calculated for the systems investigated. We notice that the ordinary second rank order parameter, $\langle P_2 \rangle_\lambda$, is not always appropriate as it quantifies the nematic order with respect to an hypothetical global director which may not exist as such in the present non spatially uniform geometry. However, MC simulations offer the possibility of evaluating some other order parameters more appropriate to each special case. For example, it is more useful to define a configurational order parameter, $\langle P_2 \rangle_C$, which tends to one for a configuration perfectly ordered according to the idealized structure induced by the boundary conditions used (Fig.1). Thus

$$\langle P_2 \rangle_C = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_2(u_i \cdot c_i),$$

where $c_i$ is a unit vector representing the ideal orientation at site $i$.

For example in the case of alignment along $z$ we can consider the order parameter $\langle P_2 \rangle_z$ with $c_i = z$ which corresponds a perfect order when all the molecules are oriented along the axis of the cylinder, i.e. $z$. Alternatively, if we want to observe the deviation from a perfect radial organisation we can consider a radial order parameter $\langle P_2 \rangle_r$ which is one where all the spins are oriented along the local radius $r_i$, i.e. $c_i = r_i$ and would vanish when we have a perfect aligned or completely random system.

III. THE SIMULATION

We have simulated various film thicknesses keeping the outer cylinder radius $r_2 = 21$ constant and varying the inner radius $r_1$ from 1 to 18 and the details of the systems used are reported in Table I. The height of the sample was 8 nematic layers and periodic boundary conditions were employed in this vertical direction. We have then investigated the behavior of the various order parameters across the sample with the aim of assessing the molecular organisation inside the cylinder film. The starting configurations of the systems were chosen to be completely aligned along the $z$ direction and the updating of the spin orientations proceeded according to the classic Metropolis Monte Carlo procedure [21]. In MC simulations the calculation of the order parameters across the sample can be performed by dividing the sample in concentric cylindrical shells and calculating the relevant quantities in each region so as to have the variation of the ordering...
on going from the center to the border of the system. As an example, the behavior of \( \langle P_2 \rangle _\lambda \), \( \langle P_2 \rangle _R \) and \( \langle P_2 \rangle _z \) with respect to the distance from the inner to the outer surface for two different radii of the inner cylinder are reported in Fig. 2 for the R-Z-BC and in Fig. 3 for the Z-R-BC cases. It is clear from the plots in Fig. 2 that the radial order parameter has an opposite behavior in comparison with the \( \langle P_2 \rangle _z \) which is maximum at the inner surface and about -0.5 at the outer boundary where the molecules are orthogonally oriented with respect to the Z direction. The standard nematic order parameter calculated with respect to the preferred direction of the layer starts from one close to the inner surface and after decreasing to a minimum close to the center of the film increases up to an average value which is the limit for a 2D random system. The different thicknesses of the cylindrical film do not seem to affect the behavior of the three order parameters.

Inverting the alignments at the cylindrical surfaces the ordering inside the sample becomes very different when the thickness of the film increases. For larger thicknesses, keeping constant \( r_2 = 21 \) and decreasing \( r_1 \) we can observe that the influence of the aligning feature of the LL potential and the ordering along Z induced by the outer surface overcome the effect of the smaller number of radial particles located at the inner surface. This might be seen in Fig. 4 where \( \langle P_2 \rangle _z \) is plotted against \( \langle P_2 \rangle _R \) for the various values of \( r_1 \) in the two cases R-Z-BC and Z-R-BC. In the first case (Fig. 4(a)) all the data points lie on a straight line \( \langle P_2 \rangle _z = -\langle P_2 \rangle _R + 1/2 \) while for the Z-R-BC case (Fig. 4(b)) there are strong deviations from this line for the smaller inner radius \( r_1 \) corresponding to larger film thickness. We can say that when the cylindrical film thickness is sufficiently small the behavior is similar to that of a planar film for which there are no difference exchanging the alignment at the surfaces. This is true also for the R-Z-BC case for all the thickness because the alignment along Z of few molecules at the inner surface is sufficient, together with the aligning properties of the potential, to induce the ordering along Z of the sample up to the middle layers. On the contrary, a small number of molecules radially oriented at the inner sur-

TABLE I. Data of the simulated cylindrical films. \( r_1 \) is the inner radius, \( N_{LC} \), \( N_{S1} \) and \( N_{S2} \) are the number of nematic particles, and the number of spins belonging to surface \( S_1 \) and surface \( S_2 \) respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( r_1 )</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( N_{LC} )</td>
<td>10208</td>
<td>10144</td>
<td>9824</td>
<td>9344</td>
<td>8576</td>
<td>7712</td>
<td>6656</td>
<td>5412</td>
<td>3744</td>
<td>2080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( N_{S1} )</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( N_{S2} )</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>928</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIG. 5. The order parameters $\langle P_2 \rangle_r$ and $\langle P_2 \rangle_z$ for the the Z-R-BC (empty symbols) and the R-Z-BC (full symbols) cases for the different film thicknesses with $r_1 = 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18$.

face (Z-R-BC case) does not influence the sample. These observations are more apparent by plotting together the two order parameters for the two cases for the different film thickness (Fig. 5).

To have an immediate qualitative view of the ordering of the system we have plotted representative snapshots of the samples where each spin is given a color coding according to its values of the alignment along the Z direction (cyano). The snapshots for some selected inner radius and for the two cases examined are presented in Figs. 6(a) and 6(b).

FIG. 6. (Color on line) Snapshots obtained by Monte Carlo simulations for the R-Z-BC case ((a) - top) and Z-R-BC case ((b) - bottom) for different radii of the inner cylinder, i.e. $r_1 = 4, 10, 16$ (from left to right).

IV. ELASTIC THEORY

The deformations found with the Monte Carlo simulations can be analyzed in the Frank elastic theory context, for investigating their stability [22, 23]. Because of the boundary conditions used in the simulations, the nematic director can be written just as $\hat{n} = \sin \phi \hat{r} + \cos \phi \hat{z}$, with $\phi$ the angle between $\hat{n}$ and $\hat{z}$. Since the cylinder is supposed to be homogeneous in $\theta$ and $z$, it is possible to assume that $\phi$ changes only with respect to the radial variable. As the simulations were performed with fixed molecules on the cylinder surface, this allows us to assume strong anchoring with $\phi(r_1) = \Phi_1$ and $\phi(r_2) = \Phi_2$, for $\Phi_{1,2} = \pi/2$ and $\Phi_{2,1} = 0$ according to the situation considered.

From the elastic point of view, the Lebwohl-Lasher potential corresponds to one elastic constant approximation $(K_{11} = K_{22} = K_{33} = K)$ and the free energy density is given as

$$f_{EL} = \frac{K}{2} \left[ (\nabla \cdot \hat{n})^2 + (\nabla \times \hat{n})^2 \right].$$

(3)

For the geometry we are considering here, the free energy per unit area can be written as

$$F = \pi K \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \left( \frac{\sin^2 \phi(r)}{r^2} + \left( \frac{d\phi}{dr} \right)^2 \right) rdr,$$

(4)

and has to be minimized according to the variational principle, thus leading us to search for the solutions of the non-linear differential equation

$$\frac{d^2\phi}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d\phi}{dr} - \frac{\sin 2\phi}{2r^2} = 0.$$
Fig. 7. The order parameter $\langle P_2 \rangle_R$ and $\langle P_2 \rangle_z$ versus distance starting from the inner surface for the R-Z-BC case. The various curves refer to the different radii of the inner cylinder, i.e., $r_1 = 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18$. The radius of the outer cylinder is $r_2 = 21$. The continuous lines are the elastic theory predictions while the points are the MC results.

A first integration of the above equation yields

$$\int_{\phi(r_1)}^{\phi(r)} \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{\zeta - \cos^2\xi}} = \ln \left[ \frac{r}{r_1} \right],$$

where $\zeta$ is an integration constant to be determined by imposing the boundary conditions. Thus, the solution $\phi(r)$ can be numerically obtained from Eq. (6).

In order to compare the simulation data with the predictions of the elastic theory, we define the order parameter with respect to the radial direction as

$$\langle P_2 \rangle_R(r) = \frac{3}{2} \cos^2 \phi(r) - \frac{1}{2},$$

and the order parameter with respect to $z$ in the form

$$\langle P_2 \rangle_z(r) = \frac{3}{2} \cos^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - \phi(r) \right) - \frac{1}{2} = -\langle P_2 \rangle_R(r) + \frac{1}{2}. \quad (8)$$

These quantities are plotted together with the simulation data for comparison of both procedures in Fig. 7 (left plate). It is obvious that $\Delta(r) \to 0$ for $r_1 \to r_2$, but for showing it in a clearer way we can evaluate the mean value of this function, defined as

$$\langle \Delta(r_1, r_2) \rangle = \frac{\int_{r_1}^{r_2} \Delta(r) dr}{r_2 - r_1}. \quad (10)$$

The profile of $\Delta(r_1, r_2)$ is also shown in Fig. 8 (right plate), and is evidenced that the difference between the two approaches are indeed very similar. Even though the profiles of the simulation data and of the theoretical curve have some similarities, for larger thicknesses there are also some deviation between the results. This can be probably due to the fact that the LL potential is a nearest neighbor one and the boundary conditions effects propagate up to 1-2 lattice spacing. Then, for the larger thicknesses, at the middle of the cylindrical film the aligning effect of the potential overcomes the surface effects.

In order to perform a quantitative comparison of the predictions, motivated by Fig. 5, let us define the function $\Delta(r)$ as

$$\Delta(r) = \langle P_2 \rangle_z^{Z-R-BC}(r) - \langle P_2 \rangle_R^{Z-R-BC}(r). \quad (9)$$

The profile of $\Delta(r)$ for some values of $r_1$ is shown in Fig. 8 (left plate). It is obvious that $\Delta(r) \to 0$ for $r_1 \to r_2$, but for showing it in a clearer way we can evaluate the mean value of this function, defined as

$$\langle \Delta(r_1, r_2) \rangle = \frac{\int_{r_1}^{r_2} \Delta(r) dr}{r_2 - r_1}. \quad (10)$$

The profile of $\langle \Delta(r_1, r_2) \rangle$ is also shown in Fig. 8 (right plate), and is evidenced that the difference between the
FIG. 9. (a) - Top - The profile for \( \Delta(r) \) for some values of \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 = 21 \) (20 + “ghost one”). (b) - Bottom - Mean Value for \( \Delta(r) \) which quantitatively show the similarities between both solutions for small thickness.

predictions of both approaches vanishes for small thickness. This difference arises in reason of the non-linearity found in this kind of geometry. However, if the director profile lies only on the polar plane, in the one constant approximation, the linear differential equation for the director profile and the homogeneous constant boundary conditions cause no differences in both functions [5, 6].

FIG. 10. The optical images (top view) as obtained by Monte Carlo simulations for the R-Z-BC case and different radii of the inner cylinder, i.e. \( r_1 = 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16 \) (from top left to bottom right).

FIG. 11. The optical images (top view) as obtained by Monte Carlo simulations for the Z-R-BC case and different radii of the inner cylinder, i.e. \( r_1 = 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16 \) (from top left to bottom right).

FIG. 12. Lateral views of the optical images as obtained by Monte Carlo simulations for the two boundary cases and different radii of the inner cylinder, i.e. \( r_1 = 2, 4, 6, 8, 14, 18 \) (from the top to the bottom).
V. POLARIZED MICROSCOPY OPTICAL IMAGES

To qualitatively appreciate the differences between the two cases studied here, we have also simulated the polarizing microscopy textures which have proved useful to investigate other confined nematic systems such as droplets [13–15], planar films, and twisted nematic display cells [16–18]. The textures were simulated by means of a Muller matrix approach [24], assuming, as usual, that the molecular domains represented by the spins act as optical retarders on the light propagating through the sample [23]. The following parameters were employed for computing the optical textures: film thickness \( d = 5.3 \mu m \), ordinary and extraordinary refractive indices \( n_o = 1.5 \) and \( n_e = 1.66 \), respectively, and light wavelength \( \lambda_0 = 545 nm \) [21, 27]. The results are presented in Fig. 10, Fig. 11 (top views), and Fig. 12 (lateral views). Also from these images it is clear that no appreciable differences can be seen between the two boundary cases for the smaller thicknesses while the optical patterns are different and distinguishable for the thicker film.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have studied a nematic confined between concentric cylindrical surfaces with different alignments. We have tackled the problem by means of Monte Carlo simulations of a simple lattice spin model and elastic theory. The simulation results of the ordering inside the cylindrical film are in a fair agreement with the analytical predictions for the various film thicknesses. The agreement between the simulations and the theory improves as the thickness decreases. We have also switched the surface alignments (firstly radial at the outer surface and aligned along \( z \) at the inner surface and secondly the opposite boundary ordering) and the results are indistinguishable for the cases when the film is sufficiently thin. These results are also confirmed by the elastic theory. To have a qualitative view, which can be eventually observed in real experiments, we have simulated the optical images as obtained by polarizing microscopy.
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